Kew. July 26, 1881.

Dear Watson.

"Tree of 12" is here.

I have a part of Brit. Mus. Flora to send you. I suppose you have 1–4. I will post this.

I have just had vol. 3 of DC. Monogr. Phaeog. (I sent a review to Ann. Nat. Sci.) – Sorry DC. did not send it straight to Cambridge. Do you care for it before autumn? I am in doubt about sending it by post, it is so heavy.

To come to your enquiries. What I wrote from Geneva about Boissier must be. It is all gospel. And he should have the copy. Please note by him accordingly.

But I also sent you a note from Boissier to the same effect as to the lists. I am sure, and I think

Pity I am kept in such a hurry. So it is.

Ever Yours

A. Gray.

Ever Yours

A. Gray.

as to Bot. Mex. Bound. also —
You will know. Any way
Brissier is first to serve. &
you have only one copy.

I find Bot. Calif. is re=
ceived at Linn. Soc'y. But
they want vol. 1. also.

Drugès. of Guanajuato
I asked to send specimens at
request or suggestion of
Baird. I hope what he
sent did not cost, as it
is not worth — any thing.
Dont send them on here,
Shafforis (which are good)
are more than I can
manage. Time presses,
Entire mss. I have to-day
engaged passage to Boston,
to leave Oct. 25. —

About Palmers Mex. coll.
they say that the prices
mentioned in Palmers letter to
Stansbury was $ 5. per cent —
For the Mexican part (the
Texan is ~~poor~~ poor dd stuff
collected in the dry season)
$10. is not too high. and
would be willingly paid — for
species. But you will be
surprised when they send
you the list. — as I advise
a partial list of the du=
plications & reduplications,
& re-reduplications of the
very same thing, & from
adjacent localities.

But there is every disposition
to be liberal —

As I tell them, there are
some species good things
But at the best, so full
is the list, here — so mud=
dledly bulky, that it ought
to stop buying general
collections, & pay any
price for just what it
need.